

---

---

# **TREATING ADDICTED OFFENDERS**

## **A Continuum of Effective Practices**

---

---

**Volume II**

**Edited by  
Kevin Knight, Ph.D.  
David Farabee, Ph.D.**



**Civic Research Institute**

4478 U.S. Route 27 • P.O. Box 585 • Kingston, NJ 08528

Copyright © 2007

By Civic Research Institute, Inc.  
Kingston, New Jersey 08528

The information in this book is not intended to replace the services of a professional trained in any discipline discussed in this book. Civic Research Institute, Inc., provides this information without advocating the use of or endorsing the issues, theories, advice, treatments, therapies, or programs discussed herein. Any application of the issues, theories, advice, treatments, therapies, or programs set forth in this book is at the reader's sole discretion and risk. The authors, editors, contributors, and Civic Research Institute, Inc., specifically disclaim any liability, loss, or risk, personal or otherwise, which is incurred as a consequence, directly or indirectly, of the use and application of any of the contents of this book.

This book is printed on acid-free paper.

All rights reserved. This book may not be reproduced in part or in whole by any process without written permission from the publisher.

Printed in the United States of America

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data  
Treating Addicted Offenders: A Continuum of Effective Practices  
Kevin Knight, Ph.D., and David Farabee, Ph.D.

ISBN 1-887554-61-0  
Library of Congress Control Number 2004110497

## **Acknowledgement**

We wish to express our sincere appreciation and gratitude for M. Douglas Anglin, Associate Director of the Integrated Substance Abuse Programs (ISAP) at the University of California, Los Angeles. Dr. Anglin was one of the pioneers in examining the effectiveness of correctional drug abuse treatment. Drawing from decades of his own empirical work and independent analysis of the literature, his voice has been a powerful force in shaping substance abuse policy. His mentoring has established and inspired the careers of numerous researchers in the areas of substance abuse and correctional treatment, and we are grateful to be among them.

# Foreword

by Redonna K. Chandler, Ph.D.

Approximately 6.9 million people are involved with some aspect of the criminal justice system, including 2.1 million incarcerated in prisons or jails and 4.8 million under community-based probation or parole supervision (Glaze & Palia, 2004). Drug abuse contributes significantly to this problem as evidenced by the number of individuals committing drug-related offenses including possession and/or sale of drugs; crimes committed to support drug use, or while under the influence of drugs; and crimes related to the deviant lifestyle associated with drug use. Drug use on the part of the perpetrator is estimated to be involved with over 50% of violent crimes, 75% of the drug dealing or manufacturing cases (Mumola, 1999) and 60%-80% of child abuse and neglect cases (Kropenske, et al., 1994) in the United States.

Public health problems including drug abuse are pervasive among the criminal justice population. Approximately 53% of state and 45% of federal prisoners meet the DSM-IV criteria for drug dependence or abuse (Mumola & Karberg, 2006). Among jail inmates, 52% of female and 44% of male detainees met criteria for alcohol and /or drug dependence (Karberg & James, 2005). Drug addiction is also a serious problem for adolescents involved in the juvenile justice system. An estimated 1.6 million youth are involved in the juvenile system. Of those arrested and detained, 75% report drug involvement (National Institute of Justice, 2001). Left untreated, adolescent and adult offenders with drug abuse problems are likely to continue using drugs and committing crimes.

Research has also identified high rates of mental illness among substance-abusing offenders. Surveys of jails and prisons found that 60% of jail inmates, 49% of state inmates, and 40% of federal inmates manifested symptoms of mental health problems (James & Glaze, 2006). In addition, data from this research indicates that among those with mental health problems, 76% of jail inmates and 74% of state inmates also met criteria for substance dependence or abuse. Similarly, Hiller, Knight, and Simpson (1996) found that 80% of probationers sentenced to participate in substance abuse treatment had a wide range of mental health problems. Among a large sample of detained youth in the Cook County, Illinois Juvenile Department of Corrections, psychiatric disorders were found in two-thirds of boys and almost three-quarters of girls and approximately half of boys and girls with identified substance use disorders had co-occurring mental health conditions (Teplin, Abram, McClelland, Dulcan, & Mericle, 2002).

While treatment need is great, few addicts receive treatment while involved in the criminal justice system. Research estimates that fewer than 18% of state and federal prison inmates received treatment while incarcerated (Mumola & Karberg, 2006) and less than one-third of jail inmates received treatment while detained or under the supervision of the criminal justice system (Karberg & James, 2005).

Addressing the problem of drug use among those in the criminal justice system is challenging and requires the merger of two different cultures: public health that seeks

to treat addicts and public safety that seeks to protect the community. Criminal justice sanctions including fines, community service, probation, and incarceration often fail to identify and address the root causes of criminal behavior, including drug abuse. Drug abuse treatment seeks to assist individuals in changing perceptions, attitudes, and behaviors that support drug use. Interrupting the drug abuse-crime cycle requires an approach that blends criminal justice supervision, drug testing, and accountability for behavior with effective treatment practices and approaches. This can be accomplished in a variety of criminal justice settings including drug courts, probation, prison- or jail-based treatment, and community-based treatment following release from prison or jail.

A robust body of research spanning the last two decades provides strong evidence that drug abuse treatment can effectively reduce drug use and criminal activity while increasing pro-social behaviors (Fletcher & Chandler, 2006). Effective drug abuse treatment programs tailor interventions to address the multiple needs of drug abusers and may incorporate a variety of behavioral and pharmacological interventions intended to assist the addict in changing perceptions, attitudes, values, and behaviors associated with drug use. Juveniles bring with them a number of serious problems including substance abuse, academic failure, emotional problems, family issues, and physical or sexual abuse histories. Addressing the treatment needs of this group often requires a family-based model that seeks to increase family functioning. Finally, treatment for addicted offenders should target antisocial attitudes, beliefs, and values associated with criminal behavior (Fletcher & Chandler, 2006).

Public health and public safety systems have an extraordinary opportunity to work together and intervene in the lives of addicted offenders. *Treating Addicted Offenders, Volume 2* seeks to provide treatment and criminal justice professionals with the most recent research findings on how to effectively address drug abuse and co-occurring mental health problems among adult and juvenile offenders. Edited and written by nationally known researchers and practitioners from the drug abuse treatment and criminal justice field, this book serves as a valuable resource guide to professionals working with this population. In addition to presenting research on evidence-based approaches to screening, testing, and treatment, innovative programs and promising new practices intended to reduce drug use and criminal activity are highlighted. Finally, issues that challenge the delivery of quality drug abuse and mental health treatment including the need for multiple episodes of care, how to deal with relapse, the necessity of individualized treatment accessing a continuum of treatment options, and maintaining continuity of care as offenders move through different components of the system are presented. All those involved with this text are to be congratulated for the depth and breadth of information contained within its pages.

### *Author's Note*

---

Redonna K. Chandler, Ph.D., is Chief, Services Research Branch at the National Institute on Drug Abuse. The views expressed in this text are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the National Institute on Drug Abuse.

## References

---

- Fletcher, B., & Chandler, R. K. (2006). *Principles of drug abuse treatment for criminal justice populations: A research-based guide*. Bethesda, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Drug Abuse.
- Glaze, L. E., & Palia, S. (2004). *Probation and parole in the United States, 2003*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statistics.
- Hiller, M. L., Knight, K., & Simpson, D. D. (1996). An assessment of comorbid psychological problems in a residential criminal justice drug treatment program. *Psychology of Addictive Behavior, 10*(3), 181-189.
- James, D. J., & Glaze, L. E. (2006). *Mental health problems of prison and jail inmates*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statistics.
- Karberg, J., & James, J. (2005). *Substance dependence, abuse, and treatment of jail inmates, 2002*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statistics.
- Kropenske, V., Howard, J., Breitenbach, C., Dembo, R., Edelstein, S. B., McTaggart, K., Morre, A., Sorensen, M., & Weisz, V. (1994). *Protecting children in substance abusing families*. McLean, VA: Circle Solutions.
- Mumola, C. (1999). *Substance abuse and treatment, state and federal prisoners, 1997*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statistics.
- Mumola, C., & Karberg, J. (2006). *Drug use and dependence, state and federal prisoners, 2004*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statistics.
- National Institute of Justice (2001). *2000 Annual report on drug use among adult and juvenile arrestees*. Washington, DC: National Institute of Justice.
- Teplin, L., Abram, K., McClelland, G., Dulcan, M., & Mericle, A. (2002). Psychiatric disorders in youth in juvenile detention. *Archives of General Psychiatry, 59*, 1133-1143.

# About the Editors

**Kevin Knight, Ph.D.** is a Research Scientist at the Institute of Behavioral Research (IBR) at Texas Christian University. In addition to co-editing *Treating Addicted Offenders: A Continuum of Effective Practices, Volume 1*, he has published several articles that center on evaluating substance abuse treatment process and outcomes, as well as on the development of evaluation systems for correctional settings. Dr. Knight currently is serving as the Southwest Research Center Principal Investigator on the Criminal Justice Drug Abuse Treatment Studies (CJ-DATS) project, a large National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA)-funded cooperative agreement designed to improve correctional treatment. He has worked closely with criminal justice agencies and data systems at national, state, and regional levels in the United States. Dr. Knight also serves on journal editorial boards, including serving as co-editor of *Offender Substance Abuse Report*, and participates in advisory activities for a variety of organizations that address substance abuse and related policy issues.

**David Farabee, Ph.D.** is Research Psychologist at the University of California, Los Angeles and Director of the Integrated Substance Abuse Programs (ISAP) Juvenile Justice Research Group. Prior to this he served as lead analyst for criminal justice research at the Texas Commission on Alcohol and Drug Abuse (1992-1995), and as Assistant Professor of Psychiatry and Research Scientist at the University of Kentucky Center on Drug and Alcohol Research (1995-1997). He is currently Principal Investigator of a clinical trial comparing four types of counseling styles with regard to their ability to promote abstinence for stimulant abusers (funded by the National Institute on Drug Abuse), and Co-Principal Investigator of the Criminal Justice Drug Abuse Treatment Studies (CJ-DATS) (also funded by NIDA). He has published in the areas of substance abuse, adult and juvenile crime, HIV/AIDS, and offender treatment, was co-editor of the books *Treatment of Drug Offenders* (2002; New York: Springer) and *Treating Addicted Offenders: A Continuum of Effective Practices, Volume 1* (2004; New York: Civic Research Institute), author of *Rethinking Rehabilitation: Why Can't We Reform Our Criminals?* (2005; Washington, D.C.: AEI Press), and is co-editor of *Offender Substance Abuse Report*.

# Introduction

by Kevin Knight, Ph.D., and David Farabee, Ph.D.

When we published the first volume of *Treating Addicted Offenders* in 2004, we emphasized the notion that if addiction does indeed behave like a chronic disease then treatment should be a continuous enterprise as well. We also recognized that the same rule applies to research regarding substance abuse and crime. A single snapshot of the literature cannot adequately capture such an active area of research for long. Thanks to the scholars who have contributed to this book (and to the *Offender Substance Abuse Report*, from which many of these chapters were selected), this second volume offers fresh perspectives and current empirical work that reflect the continuous growth of this literature.

The chapters in this volume are grouped into seven categories: Epidemiology, Screening & Assessment, Innovative Approaches, Drug Courts, Mental Health, Juvenile Offenders, and Challenging Perspectives. We provide some context for these themes at the outset of each section. In addition, as in the first volume, we have included a Legal Issues section as an Appendix. The latter summarizes and provides commentary on selected legal cases pertaining to the substance-abusing offender population.

As Dr. Chandler noted in the Foreword to this volume, the high prevalence of substance use disorders among adult offenders poses an enormous public health problem—and an opportunity. Moreover, the high co-occurrence of drug use, mental illness, and HIV risk among youthful offenders underscores the need to intervene early and to expand our focus to include primary and secondary prevention.

The need to effectively intervene with this population is clear. Less clear is what these interventions should be. The criminal justice system not only provides a point of access to treatment services; it also offers the threat of sanctions should offenders fail to uphold their end of the bargain. Finding the right mix of effective interventions and correctional supervision is an important goal and one that merits scrutiny and debate. We believe that *Treating Addicted Offenders, Volume 2* is an excellent source of current thinking and research that can inform our ongoing quest for effective programs and practices for substance-abusing offenders.

# Table of Contents

Foreword .....	vii
About the Editors .....	xi
Introduction .....	xiii

## PART 1: EPIDEMIOLOGY

### **Chapter 1: Substance Abuse and Criminal Specialization in an Arrestee Sample**

*Angela Hegamin, Ph.D., M.S.P.H., David Farabee, Ph.D., Ake Tzu-Hui Lu, Ph.D.  
and Douglas Longshore, Ph.D.*

Introduction .....	1-2
Order of Drug/Crime Initiation and Type of Crime .....	1-2
Current Study: Drug-Crime Relationship in an Arrestee Population .....	1-2
Study Method .....	1-3
Study Sample .....	1-3
Measures Used .....	1-4
Demographics .....	1-4
Drug-Use Career .....	1-5
Treatment Utilization .....	1-6
Criminal Career .....	1-6
Analytic Method .....	1-6
Discussion of Findings .....	1-7
Comparative Results .....	1-7
Distinctions in Drug-Use Patterns .....	1-7
Results Compared to Earlier Studies .....	1-7
Findings in Common .....	1-8
Findings That Differed .....	1-8
Conclusions .....	1-9
Predatory Crime Related to Later Onset of, but Not to Particular, Drug Use .....	1-9
Rehabilitation Should Focus on Primary Problem .....	1-9

### **Chapter 2: Substance Dependence, Abuse, and Treatment of Jail Inmates, 2002**

*Jennifer C. Karberg and Doris J. James*

Introduction .....	2-2
Majority of Jail Inmates Met Dependence or Abuse Criteria .....	2-2
Diagnostic Criteria and Overall Prevalence .....	2-2
Female vs. Male Jail Inmates .....	2-3

Racial, Ethnic, and Age Group Variances . . . . . 2-3

Criminal Life/History Characteristics . . . . . 2-5

    Dependence or Abuse More Prevalent Among Drug or  
    Property Offenders . . . . . 2-5

    Unstable Family History . . . . . 2-5

    Prior Criminal Record . . . . . 2-5

Changes in Abuse Patterns . . . . . 2-6

    Alcohol Use . . . . . 2-6

    Regular Drug Use . . . . . 2-6

Use of Substances at Offense . . . . . 2-6

    Usage Patterns . . . . . 2-6

    Type of Offense . . . . . 2-8

    Dependence or Abuse Status of Offenders . . . . . 2-8

Participation in Substance Treatment or Programs . . . . . 2-9

    Past Participation . . . . . 2-9

    Participation While Under Correctional Supervision . . . . . 2-10

        Inmates Who Used Alcohol . . . . . 2-10

        Inmates Who Used Drugs . . . . . 2-11

    Gender, Race, or Ethnicity and Participation . . . . . 2-11

**Chapter 3: Estimating the Prevalence of Ecstasy Use Among ADAM Arrestees**

*George S. Yacoubian, Jr., Ph.D.*

Measuring the Prevalence of U.S. Drug Use . . . . . 3-1

Does Ecstasy Move From Deviant to General Population? . . . . . 3-2

    The ADAM Hypothesis . . . . . 3-2

    Studies of Ecstasy Among Arrestees . . . . . 3-2

Study Methodology . . . . . 3-2

Data Analysis . . . . . 3-4

Conclusion . . . . . 3-4

**PART 2: SCREENING AND ASSESSMENT**

**Chapter 4: Evaluating Brief Substance Abuse Screening Instruments for Drug-Involved Offenders**

*Matthew L. Hiller, Ph.D. and Egle Narevic, Ph.D., M.S.W.*

Introduction . . . . . 4-1

Evaluating the SSI and TCUDS: . . . . . 4-2

    Study Population . . . . . 4-2

    Project Method . . . . . 4-2

    The Simple Screening Instrument . . . . . 4-2

    The Texas Christian University Drug Screen . . . . . 4-3

Analysis Method . . . . . 4-4

Project Results . . . . . 4-5

    Results From the SSI . . . . . 4-5

    Results From the TCUDS . . . . . 4-5

    Internal Consistency Reliability . . . . . 4-5

    Agreement Between SSI and TCUDS . . . . . 4-6

Practical Implications . . . . . 4-6

**Chapter 5: Screening for Co-Occurring Psychiatric Disorders Among Addicted Offenders: Adaptation and Use of the K6/K10 Screening Scales**

*James A. Swartz, Ph.D.*

Introduction . . . . . 5-2

    A Need for a Viable Screening Tool . . . . . 5-2

    Development of the K6/K10 Scales . . . . . 5-2

    Testing the Scales for Criminal Justice Populations . . . . . 5-2

Which Psychiatric Disorders Constitute an SMI? . . . . . 5-3

    Broadening the Definition of SMI . . . . . 5-3

    Diagnosis and Symptom Severity . . . . . 5-3

        Overestimating the Need for Treatment . . . . . 5-3

        Underestimating the Need for Treatment . . . . . 5-4

        Including Symptom Severity and Functional Impairment in  
        Determining Treatment . . . . . 5-4

The K6/K10 Scales . . . . . 5-5

    Core Items . . . . . 5-5

    Rating Symptoms . . . . . 5-5

    Why There Are Two Scales . . . . . 5-6

Preliminary Studies . . . . . 5-6

    Study Population Samples . . . . . 5-6

    Research Questions . . . . . 5-7

    Results . . . . . 5-7

        Prevalence Rates . . . . . 5-7

        Classification Results . . . . . 5-8

        Co-Occurring Substance Use Disorders and Arrest Likelihood . . 5-8

Conclusions . . . . . 5-9

    K6 Appears to Be as Discriminating as K10 . . . . . 5-9

    Need for Following Up . . . . . 5-9

    Possible Cut-Point Adjustments . . . . . 5-10

## **Chapter 6: Multimodality Quality Assurance Instrument for Prison- and Community-Based Substance Abuse Treatment Programs**

*Gerald Melnick, Ph.D. and Harry K. Wexler, Ph.D.*

Introduction . . . . .	6-1
Development of the MQA . . . . .	6-2
The MQA Instrument . . . . .	6-2
Four Parallel Forms . . . . .	6-2
Domains Covered . . . . .	6-3
The MQA Report to Programs . . . . .	6-3
Validity of the MQA . . . . .	6-5
Application of the MQA to Different Populations . . . . .	6-5
Research Application of the MQA . . . . .	6-6
Conclusion . . . . .	6-6

## **Chapter 7: The Validity of Self-Reported Information From Prisoners in a Modified Therapeutic Community**

*Matthew Hiller, Ph.D. and Egle Narevic, Ph.D., M.S.W.*

Introduction . . . . .	7-1
Study Method . . . . .	7-2
Results . . . . .	7-2
Demographic Information . . . . .	7-2
Criminal History . . . . .	7-2
Conclusion . . . . .	7-4

## **Chapter 8: Psychopathy as a Measure of Offender Risk: An Important Component of a Comprehensive Risk Assessment Battery**

*Matthew S. Shane, Ph.D. and Kent A. Kiehl, Ph.D.*

Introduction . . . . .	8-1
The Psychopathic Construct . . . . .	8-3
Psychopathy and Antisocial Personality Disorder . . . . .	8-5
Assessment of Psychopathy . . . . .	8-5
Psychopathy as a Predictor of Criminality . . . . .	8-7
Comparison of Psychopathy and Substance Abuse as Predictors of Risk . . . . .	8-8
Psychopathy a More Robust Predictor of Offending Than Is Substance Abuse . . . . .	8-8
Psychopathic Individuals Show Higher Rates of Crime Regardless of Comorbid Substance Abuse Problems . . . . .	8-8
Substance Abuse a Less Sensitive Predictor of Criminal Characteristics When Dealing With Psychopathic Individuals . . . . .	8-8
Resistance to Treatment . . . . .	8-9
Conclusions . . . . .	8-10

**PART 3: INNOVATIVE APPROACHES**

**Chapter 9: Maximum-Security Prison Therapeutic Community and Aftercare: First Outcomes**

*Harry K. Wexler, Ph.D., William M. Burdon, Ph.D. and Michael L. Prendergast, Ph.D.*

Introduction: TC Programs in the U.S. Prison System . . . . . 9-1

Study of Differential Impact of Maximum- and Lower-Security TC Programs . . . 9-2

    Method . . . . . 9-2

    Data Collection . . . . . 9-3

    Dependent Variable . . . . . 9-3

    Independent Variables . . . . . 9-4

        Mental Disorder . . . . . 9-5

        Alcohol/Drug Disorder . . . . . 9-5

        Treatment Outcome Statue . . . . . 9-5

        Motivation for Treatment . . . . . 9-6

Study Population . . . . . 9-6

    Demographic Characteristics . . . . . 9-6

    Differences in Drug Use, Criminal History, and Treatment . . . . . 9-6

Treatment Outcomes . . . . . 9-7

    12-Month Return-to-Custory Rates . . . . . 9-7

    Variance Attributable to Treatment Outcome Status . . . . . 9-8

Summary and Conclusions . . . . . 9-9

**Chapter 10: Bridges to Life: A Promising Faith-Based Prison Intervention for Substance Use**

*Marilyn Peterson Armour, Ph.D., M.S.W.*

Introduction . . . . . 10-1

    Addressing the Problem of Aftercare . . . . . 10-2

    Developing Culturally Appropriate Interventions . . . . . 10-2

The Bridges to Life Program . . . . . 10-3

    Group Sessions . . . . . 10-3

    Offender Participants . . . . . 10-3

    Other BTL Participants . . . . . 10-4

        Victim Volunteers . . . . . 10-4

        Facilitators . . . . . 10-4

    Nonsectarian Programming . . . . . 10-4

    A Collaborative Program . . . . . 10-5

Critical Role of Crime Victims . . . . . 10-5

Substance Abuse and Shame . . . . . 10-6

BTL Participant Assessment Survey . . . . . 10-6

Methodology and Analysis ..... 10-6  
 Findings ..... 10-7  
 Discussion and Conclusions ..... 10-9

**Chapter 11: Behavioral Reinforcement in Prison-Based Substance Abuse Treatment: Integrating an Evidence-Based Innovation**

*William M. Burdon, Ph.D. and Michael L. Prendergast, Ph.D.*

Introduction ..... 11-1  
 Overview of Prison-Based Substance Abuse Treatment ..... 11-2  
     Therapeutic Communities ..... 11-2  
     Cognitive-Behavioral Treatment ..... 11-2  
     Room for Improvement ..... 11-3  
 Client Engagement in Treatment and Behavioral Reinforcement ..... 11-3  
     Obstacles to Program Success in Prison ..... 11-3  
     Reinforcing Positive Behaviors: Contingency Managements ..... 11-4  
     Role of Behavioral Reinforcement in the Prison Context ..... 11-5  
 Integrating Behavioral Reinforcement into Prison Setting ..... 11-6  
     Need for Innovativeness ..... 11-6  
     Diffusion of Innovations Theory ..... 11-6  
     Organizational Climate vs. Organizational Culture ..... 11-7  
     Obstacles to Integration Within a Prison-Based Program ..... 11-8  
 Conclusion ..... 11-8

**Chapter 12: Influencing Motivation for Change Using the Addiction/Offender Cycle**

*Edward A. Roberts, M. A., LCDC, RTC and Judith A. DeTrude, Ph.D., LPC, LMFT*

Introduction ..... 12-1  
 The Addiction/Offender Cycle ..... 12-2  
 Case Study #1: Getting Away With It ..... 12-2  
     The Permission Statement ..... 12-2  
     Finding a Pattern; Asking the Group ..... 12-3  
     An Effective But Not Infallible Tool ..... 12-4  
 Case Study #2: Chronic Defiance ..... 12-4  
     The Activating Event ..... 12-4  
     Denial of Drug Use ..... 12-5  
     Finding a Pattern ..... 12-5  
     Finding a Way to Change ..... 12-6  
     Accepting the Problem and Need for Treatment ..... 12-6  
     Counseling as a Contextual Factor ..... 12-6  
 Allowing Discovery; Disturbing the Comfortable ..... 12-7

**Chapter 13: An Experiment on the Inclusion of Strength Training in Offender Substance Abuse Treatment**

*DJ Williams, Ph.D., LCSW*

Introduction . . . . . 13-2

Earlier Research on Physical Activity as a Possible Treatment Adjunct . . . . . 13-2

    Positive Findings . . . . . 13-2

    Less Clear Findings . . . . . 13-3

    Matching Patients to Treatment . . . . . 13-3

    Impact of Assessing Readiness to Exercise . . . . . 13-3

Scope and Parameters of Present Experiment . . . . . 13-4

    Definitions . . . . . 13-4

    Method . . . . . 13-4

    Study Participants . . . . . 13-5

    Instrumentation and Procedures . . . . . 13-6

The Experimental Group Protocol for Strength Training . . . . . 13-6

Analysis of Results . . . . . 13-7

    Treatment Completion and Relapse Rates . . . . . 13-8

    Exercise Readiness and Exercise Behavior . . . . . 13-9

    Participants' Satisfaction . . . . . 13-10

Conclusion . . . . . 13-10

    Summary of Findings . . . . . 13-10

    Study Limitations . . . . . 13-11

    Program Recommendations . . . . . 13-11

    Future Research . . . . . 13-12

**Chapter 14: Institutional Adjustment in Male Inmates Completing a 20-Week Drug Lifestyle Intervention**

*Glenn D. Walters, Ph.D.*

Need Exceeds Available Treatment . . . . . 14-1

The Lifestyle Change Program . . . . . 14-2

Current Study on Efficacy of the Advanced Drug Lifestyle Group . . . . . 14-3

    Hypothesis . . . . . 14-3

    Study Method . . . . . 14-3

        Participants . . . . . 14-3

        Procedure . . . . . 14-4

Study Results . . . . . 14-5

Discussion: Divergent Effects . . . . . 14-6

    White Participants . . . . . 14-6

    Non-White Participants . . . . . 14-6

    Cultural Effects . . . . . 14-7

Conclusion . . . . . 14-7

**Chapter 15: Peering Into the Black Box of Mandatory Drug Treatment**

*Douglas Young, M.S.*

Introduction . . . . . 15-1  
 Development of Research Questions . . . . . 15-2  
 Study 1: Impact of Perceived Legal Pressure on Treatment Retention . . . . . 15-4  
     Method . . . . . 15-4  
     Results . . . . . 15-4  
     Implications . . . . . 15-4  
 Study 2: Comparing Program Retention and Perceived Coercion in  
     Three Models of Mandatory Drug Treatment . . . . . 15-5  
     Method . . . . . 15-5  
     Results . . . . . 15-6  
     Evidence Supports DTAP and TASC . . . . . 15-6  
 Study 3: PLP Development and Drug Court Study . . . . . 15-7  
     Method . . . . . 15-8  
     Equivocal Findings . . . . . 15-8  
     Legal Pressure in the Larger Context . . . . . 15-9  
 Conclusion . . . . . 15-10

**PART 4: DRUG COURTS**

**Chapter 16: Drug Court Efficacy vs. Effectiveness**

*Douglas B. Marlowe, J.D., Ph.D.*

Extensive Research but Contradictory Conclusions . . . . . 16-1  
 High-Quality Studies Support Drug Courts . . . . . 16-2  
 Parametric Studies Buttress Support . . . . . 16-3  
 Need for Performance Benchmarks and Best Practices . . . . . 16-3

**Chapter 17: Accreditation Is Key to Creating the Next Generation of Drug Courts**

*John Roman, M.P.P.*

Self-Promotion vs. Objective Measure . . . . . 17-1  
 Time for a Different Research Agenda . . . . . 17-2  
 Accreditation, Research, and Efficacy . . . . . 17-3  
 Conclusion . . . . . 17-3

**Chapter 18: An Examination of Peer Relations and Employment Among Female Drug Court Participants**

*Michele Staton Tindall, Ph.D., M.S.W., Jamieson L. Duvall, M.A., Carrie B. Oser, Ph.D., Carl Leukefeld, D.S.W., J. Matthew Webster, Ph.D. and Jennifer Mooney, M.A.*

Substance Use, Employment Stability, and Relapse . . . . . 18-2  
 Employment More Complicated for Female Offenders . . . . . 18-2

Employment and Healthy Relationships . . . . .	18-3
Employment as a Gateway . . . . .	18-3
Peer Influence on Behavior . . . . .	18-4
Current Study . . . . .	18-4
Study Participants . . . . .	18-4
Study Measures . . . . .	18-5
Employment . . . . .	18-5
Drug Use . . . . .	18-5
Peer Relations . . . . .	18-5
Study Procedures . . . . .	18-6
Analytic Plan and Study Results . . . . .	18-6
Descriptive Analyses . . . . .	18-6
Bivariate Relationships . . . . .	18-7
Predicting Employment . . . . .	18-8
Discussion of Findings . . . . .	18-9
Peer Relations as Predictor of Employment Patterns . . . . .	18-9
Impact of Relationships . . . . .	18-10
Study Limitations . . . . .	18-10
Recommendations . . . . .	18-11

## **PART 5: MENTAL HEALTH**

### **Chapter 19: Mental Health Diversion: Effects of Mandated vs. Non-Mandated Strategies**

*Nahama Broner, Ph.D., Damon W. Mayrl, M.A. and Gerald Landsberg, M.S.W., M.P.A., D.S.W.*

Introduction . . . . .	19-2
The Effects of Diversion Programs . . . . .	19-2
What Accounts for Positive Outcomes? . . . . .	19-3
Study Population . . . . .	19-3
Study Procedures and Measures . . . . .	19-5
Study Results . . . . .	19-6
Mandated Clients vs. Other Subjects . . . . .	19-6
Who Benefits? The Effects of Client Differences . . . . .	19-6
Positive Characteristic: Degree of Insight . . . . .	19-7
Negative Characteristics . . . . .	19-7
Time in Treatment . . . . .	19-7
Medication Compliance . . . . .	19-7
Programmatic and Policy Implications . . . . .	19-8
Mandating Treatment Improves Some Client Outcomes . . . . .	19-8
Quality and Breadth of Service Also Count . . . . .	19-8

Attention Must Be Paid to Specific Client Characteristics . . . . . 19-9

Sufficient Evidence to Support Diversion Programs . . . . . 19-9

    Possible Drawbacks of Mandated Approach . . . . . 19-10

    Possible Structured Alternatives . . . . . 19-10

**Chapter 20: Monitoring Drug Use and Medication Adherence Among Mentally Ill Parolees**

*David Farabee, Ph.D.*

Introduction . . . . . 20-1

Study Population and Methods . . . . . 20-2

Study Results . . . . . 20-2

    Self Reports . . . . . 20-2

    Concordance Rates for Negative Measures . . . . . 20-2

Practical Implications . . . . . 20-3

**Chapter 21: The Cook County Mental Health Court: Development, Implementation, and Initial Implications**

*Lisa Braude, Ph.D.*

Introduction . . . . . 21-2

Mental Illness and Criminal Justice Involvement . . . . . 21-2

    Changes in the Mental Health Service Delivery System . . . . . 21-2

    Mental Health Treatment in Prison . . . . . 21-3

The Cook County Mental Health Court Model . . . . . 21-4

    Program Goals . . . . . 21-4

    Process and Partners . . . . . 21-5

    Monthly Meetings and Partner Outreach . . . . . 21-6

Relevant Policy Implications and Lessons Learned . . . . . 21-7

    Systematizing Interventions: Incremental Expansion . . . . . 21-7

    Seamless Transition Between Services . . . . . 21-8

    Leveraging of Existing Resources . . . . . 21-8

    Incorporating Evidence-Based Practices . . . . . 21-9

    Working With the Highest-Risk Populations . . . . . 21-10

    Integrating Intensive Case Management Through TASC . . . . . 21-10

    Reducing Stigma and Discrimination . . . . . 21-11

    Mandating Treatment . . . . . 21-11

    Incorporating Law Enforcement . . . . . 21-12

Current Activity . . . . . 21-12

## **Chapter 22: A Pilot Study of Co-Occurring Psychiatric, Substance Use, and Medical Disorders Among Jail Detainees in Psychiatric Treatment**

*James A. Swartz, Ph.D.*

Introduction	22-1
Individuals With Co-Occurring Disorders and the Criminal Justice System	22-2
High Risk of Involvement	22-2
Untreated Disorders	22-3
Cook County Pilot Study	22-3
Study Population and Method	22-4
Study Findings	22-4
Arrest History	22-4
Psychiatry/Substance Use History	22-5
Medical Disorder	22-5
Implications for Practice and Research	22-6
Challenge to the Criminal Justice and Treatment Communities	22-7

## **PART 6: JUVENILE OFFENDERS**

### **Chapter 23: Predicting Violence in Juvenile Offenders: The Interaction of Individual, Social, and Environmental Influences**

*Michelle White, Ph.D.*

Juvenile Offenders and Criminal Career Trajectories	23-1
Need for Substance Abuse Treatment	23-1
Predictive Factors	23-2
The Cannabis Youth Treatment Study	23-3
Study Design and Population	23-3
Study Measures	23-3
Study Findings	23-4
Analysis of Findings	23-6
The GAIN CVS as a Risk Assessment Measure	23-6
Reducing Risk	23-6
Study Limitations	23-7
Conclusions	23-7

### **Chapter 24: Multidimensional Family Therapy for Substance Abusing Young Offenders**

*Cynthia L. Rowe, Ph.D., Gayle A. Dakof, Ph.D. and Howard A. Liddle, Ed.D., ABPP*

Challenges for the Juvenile Justice System	24-2
Specialized Treatments for Adolescent Substance Abusers	24-2
An Integrative and Systemic Approach	24-2
Multidimensional Family Therapy	24-3

Empirical Development and Refinement . . . . . 24-3

Multiple Pathways, Contexts, and Mechanisms . . . . . 24-4

MDFT in Practice . . . . . 24-4

Modifications to Suit Different Clinical Needs . . . . . 24-4

Levels and Stages . . . . . 24-4

    Adolescent Core Interventions . . . . . 24-5

    Core Interventions With the Parent(s) . . . . . 24-5

    Core Interventions With the Family . . . . . 24-6

    Core Interventions in the Extrafamilial Realm . . . . . 24-6

Collaborating With The Juvenile Justice System . . . . . 24-6

    Working With Probation Officers . . . . . 24-6

    Enlisting the Court’s Involvement . . . . . 24-7

    Benefits . . . . . 24-7

MDFT Treatment Outcomes With Young Substance Abusing Offenders . . . . . 24-8

New Directions for MDFT Research Within the Juvenile Justice System . . . . . 24-9

    Cross-Systems Collaboration From Detention to the Community . . . . . 24-9

    Collaboration With Juvenile Drug Court . . . . . 24-9

Summary . . . . . 24-10

**Chapter 25: First Count to Ten: Innovation and Implementation in Juvenile Reintegration Programs**

*Douglas Young, M.S.*

Introduction . . . . . 25-1

Background . . . . . 25-3

    Organizational Framework . . . . . 25-3

    The IAP Model . . . . . 25-4

Method . . . . . 25-5

Results and Discussion . . . . . 25-7

    Mission and Strategy . . . . . 25-7

    Leadership . . . . . 25-9

    Organizational Culture . . . . . 25-9

    Systems . . . . . 25-10

    Structure . . . . . 25-11

    Management Practices . . . . . 25-11

    Motivation . . . . . 25-12

    Job-Skills Match . . . . . 25-13

Summary and Conclusion . . . . . 25-13

**Chapter 26: The Correlates and Consequences of Drug Involvement Among Youths Entering a Juvenile Justice Diversion Program**

*Richard Dembo, Ph.D., Jennifer Wareham, Ph.D., Norman Poythress, Ph.D., Brittany Cook, B.A. and James Schmeidler, Ph.D.*

Introduction . . . . . 26-1  
     Multiple Problems of Youth in Justice System . . . . . 26-2  
     Current Study Method . . . . . 26-2  
 Study Sample . . . . . 26-3  
     Demographics . . . . . 26-3  
     Arrest Charges Leading to Diversion Involvement and Prior Charges . . 26-3  
 Measuring Alcohol and Other Drug Use . . . . . 26-4  
 Measuring Related Behavior and Psychosocial Functioning . . . . . 26-5  
     Self-Reported Delinquent Behavior . . . . . 26-5  
     Psychosocial Functioning . . . . . 26-5  
 Analysis of Results . . . . . 26-6  
 Policy and Practice Implications . . . . . 26-7

**Chapter 27: Therapeutic Community Treatment for Juveniles Sentenced as Adults: Findings From Delaware’s Young Criminal Offender Program**

*Christine A. Saum, Ph.D., Alison R. Gray, B.A. and Jill A. Walters, M.A.*

Substance-Abuse Treatment Needs of Youth in Adult Prisons . . . . . 27-1  
 Corrections-Based Substance Abuse Treatment for Adolescents . . . . . 27-2  
     Path to Treatment . . . . . 27-2  
     Treatment Strategies and Programs . . . . . 27-3  
 Delaware’s Young Criminal Offender Program . . . . . 27-4  
     Overview . . . . . 27-4  
     Current Study . . . . . 27-5  
         Purpose . . . . . 27-5  
         Study Sample . . . . . 27-5  
     Study Results . . . . . 27-6  
         Substance Abuse . . . . . 27-6  
         Program Completion . . . . . 27-7  
 Conclusions . . . . . 27-8

**PART 7: CHALLENGING PERSPECTIVES**

**Chapter 28: Implementing a Readiness Program for Mandated Substance Abuse Treatment**

*Laura Winterfield, Ph.D. and Jennifer Yahner, M.A.*

Introduction . . . . . 28-2  
 Research Question . . . . . 28-3  
 Data Used: The *Returning Home* Study . . . . . 28-3

Description of the Population Sample ..... 28-4  
     Demographics ..... 28-4  
     Criminal and Substance Use History ..... 28-5  
 Methodology ..... 28-5  
     Pre-Prison Drug Problem ..... 28-5  
     In-Prison Substance Abuse Services ..... 28-6  
     Post-Prison Substance Abuse Services ..... 28-6  
 Research Findings ..... 28-6  
     Matching Treatment to Need ..... 28-6  
     Continuity of Treatment ..... 28-7  
     Discussion of Possible “Correlates” ..... 28-8  
     Successful Treatment Matching ..... 28-8  
     Continuity of Treatment ..... 28-11  
 Discussion ..... 28-12  
     Policy and Practice Implications ..... 28-12  
         Routine Screening ..... 28-12  
         Correctional System vs. Treatment Mission Views ..... 28-13  
         Institutional Incentives ..... 28-13  
     Increasing Continuity of Service ..... 28-14  
         Integrating Research Into Practice ..... 28-14  
         Treatment Improvement Protocol ..... 28-14  
     Enhancing Prisoners’ Motivation for Change ..... 28-15  
         Treatment Improvement Protocol ..... 28-15  
         Future Research ..... 28-15  
 Conclusion ..... 28-15

**Chapter 29: Drug Dependence Is Omnigenus: The Disease Analogy and Criminal Justice Policy**

*Douglas B. Marlowe, J.D., Ph.D., David S. DeMatteo, J.D., Ph.D., David S. Festinger, Ph.D. and Nicholas S. Patapis, Psy.D. M.A.C.J.*

Introduction ..... 29-1  
 Drug Policy by Analogy ..... 29-2  
 Similarities and Differences in Pathophysiology ..... 29-3  
 Similarities and Differences in Onset and Course ..... 29-4  
 Similarities and Differences in Treatment Response ..... 29-5  
 Similarities and Differences in Society’s Response ..... 29-5  
 Science Over Analogies ..... 29-6

**Chapter 30: Staying in Treatment: How Much Difference Is There From Prison to Prison?**

*Bernadette Pelissier, Ph.D., Scott D. Camp, Ph.D. and Mark Motivans, Ph.D.*

Introduction ..... 30-1

Measures of Program Tenure ..... 30-2

Purpose of Study and Methodology ..... 30-2

    Study Sample ..... 30-2

    Predictor Variables ..... 30-3

        Individual-Level Predictors ..... 30-3

        Program-Level Predictors ..... 30-3

    Analytic Methods ..... 30-4

Study Results ..... 30-5

    High Treatment Retention ..... 30-5

    Considerable Variation Across Programs ..... 30-5

    Covariates Associated With Non-Completion ..... 30-6

Practical Implications for Programming ..... 30-7

    Addressing Acting-Out Behavior ..... 30-8

    Motivation for Change and Motivational Interviewing ..... 30-8

    Programs for Women ..... 30-9

    Program Implementation and Philosophy ..... 30-9

**Chapter 31: Professionalizing the Substance Abuse Treatment Field: An Invitation for Discussion**

*Igor Koutsenok, M.D.*

Defining “Paraprofessional” ..... 31-1

Professional vs. Paraprofessional Treatment Skills and Outcomes ..... 31-2

Critical Points Drawn From the Literature Review ..... 31-5

A Call for Specialized Training in Addiction ..... 31-6

    The UCSD Survey ..... 31-6

    Areas of Study ..... 31-7

Demand for More Personnel Should Not Override Need for Training ..... 31-8

Next Steps ..... 31-8

**Chapter 32: The Racial/Cultural Identity Development Model and Correctional Treatment**

*Edward A. Roberts, M.S., L.C.D.C., R.T.C.*

Introduction ..... 32-1

Differing Perspectives of Cultural Significance ..... 32-2

Worldview Shaped by Both Personal and Cultural Experience ..... 32-3

Responsivity: The Role of Culture ..... 32-3

Significance of Cultural Identification in Criminal Justice Populations ..... 32-4

The Racial/Cultural Identity Development Model . . . . . 32-4  
     Stage 1: Conformity . . . . . 32-5  
     Stage 2: Dissonance . . . . . 32-5  
     Stage 3: Resistance and Immersion . . . . . 32-6  
     Stage 4: Introspection . . . . . 32-6  
     Stage 5: Integrative Awareness . . . . . 32-6  
 Acculturation Case Study: A Gang Member’s Hatred . . . . . 32-6  
 Effectiveness Rooted in Empathy . . . . . 32-8

**Chapter 33: Interagency Priorities at the Crossroads: Aftercare Among Drug Users**

*Bernadette Pelissier, Ph.D. and Timothy Cadigan, M.A.*

Introduction . . . . . 33-1  
 Study to Assess Transition From BOP to AOUSC . . . . . 33-2  
     Drug Treatment Services . . . . . 33-3  
         The Residential Drug Treatment Program . . . . . 33-3  
         Transitional Services . . . . . 33-3  
         The Substance Abuse Treatment Program . . . . . 33-3  
     Study Research Questions . . . . . 33-3  
 Study Data . . . . . 33-4  
     Associated Background Characteristics . . . . . 33-4  
     District Differences . . . . . 33-5  
     Data Analysis Method . . . . . 33-5  
 Study Results . . . . . 33-5  
     Treatment Received . . . . . 33-5  
     Reentry and Continuity of Care . . . . . 33-6  
     Individual Characteristics as Predictors . . . . . 33-7  
     Variation Between Districts . . . . . 33-8  
 Systemic Approach Needed . . . . . 33-8

**Chapter 34: Stigma Reduction: The Program Rehabilitation and Restitution Initiative**

*Harry K. Wexler, Ph.D., Gerald Melnick, Ph.D. and Michael Chaple, M.A.*

Introduction . . . . . 34-2  
 Consequences of a Felony Conviction . . . . . 34-2  
     Patchwork Pattern of Laws . . . . . 34-2  
     Barriers to Employment . . . . . 34-3  
     Restrictions on Citizenship . . . . . 34-4  
     Impact on Families and Community . . . . . 34-4  
 Sealing Criminal Records: Part of a Solution . . . . . 34-4  
 The Program Rehabilitation and Restitution Initiative . . . . . 34-5

Goals .....	34-5
Case Management and TASC .....	34-5
Two PRR Programs .....	34-6
Evaluation and Technical Assistance .....	34-7
Formative and Summative Evaluations .....	34-7
Periodic Reporting of Preliminary Findings .....	34-7
General Characteristics .....	34-9
Employment .....	34-9
Drug of Choice .....	34-9
Involvement in Illegal Activity .....	34-9
Motivation for Drug Treatment .....	34-9
Motivation for Record Sealing .....	34-10
Client Impressions of PRR .....	34-10
Use of Formative Evaluation Information .....	34-11
Summary .....	34-11

### **Chapter 35: Counselor Burnout and the Therapeutic Relationship**

*Bryan R. Garner, Ph.D. and Kevin Knight, Ph.D.*

Introduction .....	35-2
The Concept of “Burnout” .....	35-2
Earlier Research on Burnout in Substance Abuse Treatment and Correctional Staffs .....	35-2
Individual and Organizational Factors Associated With Staff Burnout .....	35-3
Impact of Counselor Experience and the Therapeutic Relationship .....	35-3
Current Study .....	35-4
Rationale and Method .....	35-4
Study Sample .....	35-5
Study Procedures .....	35-5
Study Measures .....	35-5
Predictor Variables .....	35-5
Outcome Variable .....	35-6
Analytic Plan .....	35-6
Study Results .....	35-7
Discussion: Current Study in Context of Earlier Findings .....	35-8
Differences Among Counselors .....	35-8
Counselor Age .....	35-8
Counselor Race and Gender .....	35-9
Counselor Certification .....	35-9
Counselor Experience .....	35-9

Burnout and Rapport ..... 35-9  
General Conclusions ..... 35-10

**Appendix 1: Legal Issues** ..... App.-1  
*Margaret R. Moreland, J.D., M.S.L.S.*

**Appendix 2: Alternatives to Incarceration for Drug Offenders: 2006 Report  
Supports Effectiveness of Treatment Over Incarceration** ..... App.-41  
*Margaret R. Moreland, J.D., M.S.L.S.*

**Index** ..... I-1